

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/715,112	BERGER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	

**All Participants:**

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(2) Christopher T. McWhinney.

**Status of Application: Pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 April 2005

**Time:** AM (e.d.t.)

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
 N/A

**Claims discussed:**  
 N/A


**Prior art documents discussed:**  
 N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Responsive to the status letter filed March 18, 2005, the Examiner indicated that an action on merits should be mailed in approximately one week.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)